

Agenda



Contact Officer: Louise Griffin

Tel: 01235 422699

E-mail: democratic.services@southandvale.gov.uk

Date: 23 July 2019

Website: www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk

A MEETING OF THE

Planning Committee

WILL BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 31 JULY 2019 AT 7.00 PM

THE RIDGEWAY, THE BEACON, PORTWAY, WANTAGE, OX12 9BY

Members of the Committee:

Bob Johnston (Chair)

Val Shaw (Vice-Chair)

Jerry Avery

Ron Batstone

Eric Batts

Diana Lugova

Robert Maddison

Janet Shelley

Max Thompson

Substitutes Councillors

Paul Barrow, Dr

Nathan Boyd

Andy Cooke

Eric De La Harpe

Amos Duveen

Hayleigh Gascoigne

David Grant

Simon Howell

Mike Pighills

Elaine Ware

Alternative formats of this publication are available on request. These include large print, Braille, audio, email and easy read. For this or any other special requirements (such as access facilities) please contact the officer named on this agenda. Please give as much notice as possible before the meeting.

1. Chairman's announcements

To receive any announcements from the chairman.

2. Apologies for absence

To record apologies for absence and the attendance of substitute members.

3. **Declarations of interest**

To receive any declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests in respect of items on the agenda for this meeting.

4. **Urgent business**

To receive notification of any matters which the chairman determines should be considered as urgent business and the special circumstances which have made the matters urgent.

5. **Public participation**

To receive any statements from members of the public that have registered to speak on planning applications which are being presented to this committee meeting.

Planning applications

All the background papers, with the exception of those papers marked exempt/confidential (e.g. within Enforcement Files) used in the following reports within this agenda are held (normally electronically) in the application file (working file) and referenced by its application number. These are available to view at the Council Offices (135 Milton Park, Milton) during normal office hours.

Any additional information received following the publication of this agenda will be reported and summarised at the meeting.

Summary index of applications

	Site Address	Proposal	Application No	Page.
6.	P19/V0696/FUL - Louie Memorial Pavilion, Arnolds Way, Botley, Oxford, OX2 9JD	Demolition of existing buildings and erection of replacement 'Louie Memorial Pavilion' with associated car park and other external works.	P19/V0696/FUL	3 - 38

MARGARET REED

Head of Legal and Democratic

APPLICATION NO.	P19/V0696/FUL
SITE	Louie Memorial Pavilion Arnolds Way Botley Oxford, OX2 9JD
PARISH	NORTH HINKSEY
PROPOSAL	Demolition of existing buildings and erection of replacement 'Louie Memorial Pavilion' with associated car park and other external works. (Revised proposed building and surroundings plan 404.p17 received 28/6/2019)
WARD MEMBER(S)	Debby Hallett Emily Smith Alison Jenner Judy Roberts
APPLICANT	North Hinksey Parish Council
OFFICER	Susannah Mangion

1.0 **RECOMMENDATION**

1.1 It is recommended that planning permission is granted subject to the following conditions:

1.2 **Standard**

1. Commencement within three years
2. Approved plans

Prior to commencement

3. Surface water drainage
4. Foul drainage
5. Wildlife protection
6. Visibility splays
7. Tree protection
8. Landscaping Scheme - submission


Prior to occupation

9. Landscaping Scheme – implementation
10. Materials
11. Lighting strategy
12. Car parking
13. Cycle parking
14. Bin store provision
15. Bin store detail

Informatives
Works within Highway

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND PROPOSAL

- 1.1 This application comes to committee at the request of the Planning Manager in agreement with the Chairman.
- 1.2 The application site area extends to approximately 0.206ha and is located on Arnolds Way in Botley, on the edge of North Hinksey parish where it adjoins Cumnor parish. The application site occupies part of the south area of the Louie Memorial Playing Fields which extends to a total of 2.82ha. The Playing Fields were given to the Parish in 1939 for the recreational use of parishioners and are managed by North Hinksey Parish Council.
- 1.3 The south side of the Louie Memorial Playing Fields includes the existing pavilion building, the existing Scout building, a football pitch area, fitness equipment, play equipment and a fenced multi-use games area (MUGA). The south side of the Louie Memorial Playing Fields also include areas used for informal recreation.
- 1.4 The north side of the Louie Memorial Playing Fields slopes steeply away to the north, affording panoramic long views. The area includes a car-park and play area close to the road. There is also a local wildlife site comprising alkaline fen and woodland and Hutchcombe's Copse.
- 1.5 There are a number of trees on the site and an area of overgrown scrub to the west of the site.
- 1.6 The site is within the Oxford Green Belt. The Green Belt boundary ends at the highway (Arnolds Way). The north side of the Louie Memorial Playing Fields is not within the Green Belt. North Hinksey bridleway 4 runs to the south of the application site. The site is well-served by public transport, being on a number of bus routes. Arnolds Way is also part of an established cycle route. The highway outside the site does not benefit from on-road parking restrictions but there are traffic calming measures in place on the road.
- 1.7 There are currently no parking spaces provided on the application site although there is a parking area on the north side of Louie Memorial Playing Fields which is unmarked but allows for approximately 12 vehicles to park.
- 1.8 A site location plan is included overleaf:



- 1.9 North Hinksey Parish Council is seeking to replace the existing buildings on site. The proposal follows a public consultation exercise and a feasibility study that concluded in 2018.
- 1.10 Planning permission is sought for the removal of the existing pavilion building and Scout building and the erection of a replacement building comprising: a main hall with external access, storage and wcs, a smaller hall with storage, further wcs, a kitchen area and a small group meeting room. A linked, but separate block benefits from its own external access and would comprise the sports changing facilities with showers, two referee's changing areas and separate wcs. The complex also includes an externally accessible wc and a 'space to change' assisted wc/changing area.
- 1.11 The proposed building would be single-storey with a mono-pitched roof (with roof pitches of approximately 12.5 degrees) facing southwards to benefit from the installation of pv panels. The maximum height of the building (north elevation) would be 5.9m above ground level, allowing for a maximum internal height of 5m. The external walls are proposed to be timber clad above brickwork plinths. The roof is to be predominately sedum 'green roof' and will incorporate roof lights. Windows are proposed to be powder coat paint finish aluminium frames. The building would have externally mounted security and safety lighting.
- 1.12 Additional storage would be within four shipping containers which are to be over-clad in timber boarding to match the main building with a shallow mono-pitch roof over. A linked secure area is proposed to be provided for drying Scout

tents between the shipping container area and the changing room element of the building. Externally this area would comprise metal railings to front and rear with a flat roof over.

- 1.13 It is proposed to retain existing trees on site. The scrub land to the west of the site is proposed to be replaced with wildlife friendly planting. To supplement existing car parking available on the north side of the Louie Memorial Field, new car parking spaces would be provided: 2 designated disabled spaces and 5 standard parking spaces. Cycle parking provision is also proposed. The car parking area is proposed to be in 'green' porous material and to accord with sustainable drainage principles. The parking area and access will use the existing (emergency) access which lies opposite the access to the parking area on the north side of the Louie Memorial Field. No separate lighting is proposed to the car park area.
- 1.14 The proposal also includes a paved 'outdoor room' between the replacement building and MUGA which will have direct access to the playing fields. There will be a garden area for hall users at the front of the site which will be enclosed by low level fencing.
- 1.15 Amended plans have been submitted to address the comments from Sport England and the Oxfordshire County Council Highways Liaison Officer. Extracts from the plans are **attached** at Appendix 1.

2.0 **SUMMARY OF CONSULTATIONS & REPRESENTATIONS**

2.1 A summary of the consultations and representations received in response to the application is provided below. The full comments are available on the council's website.

2.2	North Hinksey Parish Council	Applicant
	Countryside Officer (Vale)	No objection: Low value vegetation, or already built-on land. Bat emergence survey is recommended – to be required by condition and if roosting sites are present, there is scope for suitable mitigation. A European Protected Species Licence would be required. Proposal unlikely to impact on badgers.
	Sport England	No objection: Development is for ancillary facilities supporting use of site as playing field - does not adversely affect the use of the playing pitches.

	Comments regarding suggested alterations to design of the changing block, partially taken on board within amended plans giving satisfactory arrangements.
Leisure Department (Vale)	No objection: Agree with recommendations of Sport England.
Drainage - (Vale)	No objection: Pre-commencement conditions for surface water and foul water drainage.
Vale - Highways Liaison Officer (Oxfordshire County Council)	No objection: Suggested conditions to control: access arrangements including vision splays; closure of existing access and opening of new entrance to OCC standards; car parking provision to be to standard; cycle parking provision to standard .
Environmental Protection Team (Vale)	No objections.
Matthew Arnold School	No observations.
SGN Plant Protection Team	No objections: Advisory information regarding location of gas pipes.
Forestry Team (Vale)	No objections: There are a number of trees within the site, mostly within the existing woodland area. To ensure trees are not harmed during construction, a tree protection plan should be submitted. Comments re revised plans: retention of pedestrian access onto Arnolds Way will reduce landscaping but only minimally.
Waste Management Officer (Vale)	No objections:

	<p>Give consideration to size of bins required and their location to enable ease of manoeuvring. Waste collection would not be provided by the District Council.</p>
<p>4th Oxford Scout Group</p>	<p>Objection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unnecessary to demolish existing Scout building – would like to retain existing. • Concerns about affordability of use of new provision and accessibility in conjunction with competing needs of other community groups • Concern about scale or accommodation, particularly storage provision, especially with respect to tent drying and storage. • Concern about limited wcs, and no dedicated break-out room. • Lack of security of long-term rights of use of building. • Proposal is not equivalent or better – is less functionally suited. • Currently largest user with 86 members, 10 leaders and large waiting list. • Building proposals prevent expansion • Unable to update current building due to lease restrictions and uncertainty over future. • Parish Council acting unlawfully with regard to lease and is a breach of duty of care and breach of trust. • Do not benefit from long-term security • Inadequate pre-application consultation process • Not a like-for-like replacement <p>Contrary to policies: i.e. Local plan policies: L7, L8, CP1, CP13, DP8, DP34 ; and Strategic objectives SO2 and SO3; and North Hinksey Neighbourhood Plan SI1; and Paragraphs 8 ,97 , 144, 145 NPPF</p> <p>Response to amendment:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No substantial alterations which do not respond to Scout original concerns • Parish Council has not considered Scout group's proposals • Additional Beaver session starting in September which will lead to further demand for additional Cub and Scout sessions • No explanation of need for demolition of Scout Hut. A 2018 consultation proposed renovating Scout Hut.
<p>North Hinksey Youth Club</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supporter: • Main hall in existing pavilion too small for current numbers so will allow Youth Club activities to develop • Facility especially valuable as adjacent to playing field • Current buildings in a poor state <p>Response to amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support amendment following Sport England and Highways advice
<p>Oxfordshire Badger Group</p>	<p>Objection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will harm wildlife corridor due to light pollution and disturbance • Hedgerows should be retained to protect wildlife corridor • Lack of proof of biodiversity gain, due to increased footprint of building • Concerned ecological report dated 11/2018, and therefore data, out of date and not undertaken at optimal time of year • Information incomplete with regard badgers • Hydrology survey should be provided due to alkaline fen in lower Louie Memorial Field (Local Wildlife Site) and possible impact from surface water run-off; Development should be carbon neutral • May create increased traffic movements and harm wildlife on the highway

<p>Louie Memorial Pavilion Trustees</p>	<p>Supporter:</p> <p>A more accessible community space. Current condition of pavilion building a concern.</p>
<p>Friends of Louie Memorial Fields</p>	<p>Objector:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No proven demand for proposal – 407 signed petition against development (more than took part in consultation) • Many other existing local facilities already exist • Scouts do not support development • Consultation data misrepresented and shows little local interest in a new facility. Waste of public money. • Large building will contravene Green Belt policy – greater footprint and volume than existing. No exceptional circumstance. Design of building not sympathetic and will dominate street scene. • Will harm character and openness of area and open space will be lost. • Development will block long views to the north. • Concerns re impact on local wildlife. <p>Response to amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain initial objections • Note football pitch of poor quality so future usage unclear • Inadequate pre-submission consultation • Will generate car usage • Harm to lower field fen by increased hard standing • Should retain Scout building • Note District Council contributed funding for project
<p>Branches Young Peoples Support Service</p>	<p>Supporter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improvement to facilities which will increase use.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building not comparable with other new facilities due to access to outdoor activities. • Would like additional lighting for safety • Would like to see storage space in conjunction with kitchen area. • Changing areas should address Sport England comments.
Open Spaces Society	<p>Objection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Damage to Louie Memorial fields. • Disproportionate impact on green space and building - car park will dominate area. • Footprint and height of building too large • Not supported by local demand – area mainly used for informal recreation. • Green Belt harm will outweigh benefits. • Will restrict long views to the north
Crime Prevention Design Adviser	No response.
Cllr Debby Hallett	<p>Supporter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support plans, subject to changes proposed by Sport England. • Appropriate use of Green Belt. • Modernises recreational facilities. • Supported by neighbourhood plan and Vale’s leisure strategy.
Cllr Emily Smith	<p>Supporter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment accords with local need for better facilities, especially for young people. • Improved facilities provide greater access. • Building’s footprint will be larger but the increase is not disproportionate. The angle of the building will maintain openness.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Details of the proposed storage could be agreed separately from the current application. • Agree with Sport England recommendations. • Building will be more energy efficient and would like building to be carbon neutral. • Welcome provision of bike racks and limited parking spaces. • Would like to see parking on a permeable surface. • Wildlife corridor and trees are to be preserved.
<p>Neighbour – supporters – 33</p>	<p>Community and leisure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports a healthy community • Accessible leisure and sport provision including for wide cross section of population • Playing fields and changing facilities have suffered neglect so good to develop • Acts as gateway to open countryside • Could generate new groups and leisure uses • Only local community facility with access to a safe sports field/ outdoor area • Allows for use by several groups at the same time • Botley is 'local service centre' so should have good community facilities <p>Sustainable transport and construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is close to public transport links • Existing buildings are poor quality and energy inefficient so unsuitable for use in the winter • Can reduce emissions by use of green roof and solar panels, sustainable construction methods <p>Design:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will improve appearance of the area – superior design to current buildings.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing buildings visually unpleasant and dilapidated <p>Green Belt:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complies with policies • Current buildings detract from character of area <p>Other comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building does not impact important long distance views • Does not impinge neighbours • Increased use of buildings likely to reduce anti-social behaviour • Reinforces designation of site as an open green space • Improvement to wildlife corridor • Supports neighbourhood plan policy aims • Should include cctv to reduce risk of crime • Should have more internal storage, rather than container storage • Should incorporate energy efficient measures • Building should be larger to meet community needs • Note original and amended plans meet Sport England exception for development on Playing Fields <p>Response to amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved design • Balances needs of residents, community and environment • Sought engagement with Scout group
<p>Neighbour –objectors – 47</p>	<p>Community/leisure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduces already limited informal amenity space in Botley • Not replacement provision but a community centre • No demand - many other local facilities including new community centre • Existing buildings and equipment not much used

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Unsited to Scouts – difficulty with shared facilities and inadequate storage/tent drying and unable to generate funds from rental of Hall.• If Scouts relocate, how can such a large building be justified?• Building will not allow Scout group to grow as availability of building will be limited. Current group is thriving with large waiting list. Parents will have to travel further to access Scout groups.• Poorly located for community facility• Renovate existing facilities instead• Football and play equipment discourages use by girls/women• Unsited to use for changing rooms as this area is located furthest from the playing field/pitch• Football pitch is poor quality and does not meet standard for men and women’s football <p>Sustainable transport and construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Building not carbon-neutral• Concern about extent of parking area– new car parks should not be encouraged and should limit to disabled provision only• Will generate more use so more on-road parking <p>Design issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Materially larger, higher building with car park - should not increase beyond current footprint• Will encourage anti-social behaviour and conceal the MUGA and other play equipment• Not sympathetic to local character – an eyesore• Angle of building to road increases impact and increases blocking of views• Proposed changing facilities need improvement to address privacy and disabled use
--	--

	<p>Green Belt:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harm to Green Belt (policy CP13) • Inappropriate development in Green Belt - no exceptional circumstances • Harms openness as larger building plus parking further from the existing hedgeline <p>Character of area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harms long views to the north • Harms the fields and beauty of the area • Object to car park on area adjoining existing buildings - should remain an area of open space • Will create light pollution and may generate excessive noise <p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harmful to wildlife corridor linking south and north Playing Fields • Should be accompanied by hydrology report • Too costly - poor use of public funds to build and maintain • Initial consultation process only engaged with 205 parishioners whereas 2018 petition in opposition to development had 407 signatures – consultation process inadequate and flawed • Concern about use of Vale of White Horse funds to support the development of the plans /use of Nortoft consultants • Concerned about safety of area at rear of site and anti-social behaviour due to building blocking sight-lines • Green space should not be covered with new buildings. <p>Response to amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amendment does not overcome main objections which are re-stated
<p>Neighbour – no strong views – 3</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern about Scout group loss of provision i.e. basic, cheap self-contained accommodation but would

	support proposal if supported by local groups and support pavilion/changing room element
--	--

3.0 **RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY**

3.1 [P98/V1106](#) - Approved (04/02/1999)

Replacement floodlights.

[P97/V1535/COU](#) - Approved (22/01/1998)

Change of Use from Sports Pavilion to Sports Pavilion and Youth Centre.

[P79/V0508](#) - Approved (01/08/1979)

Extension to scout hall to provide committee room and store.

4.0 **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

4.1 The proposed development is neither of a sufficient scale, nor within a location of sufficient environmental sensitivity, to require an EIA.

5.0 **MAIN ISSUES**

5.1 The main considerations are:

- Principle of the development
- Green Belt considerations
- Design and impact on character of area (including views)
- Leisure and community facilities
- Trees and landscaping
- Wildlife
- Highway safety, parking and access arrangements
- Impact on neighbour amenity
- Other matters including; drainage and flooding; crime and anti-social behaviour; sustainable design, construction and renewable energy; CIL; and covenant restrictions on the use of the Louie Memorial Playing Fields.

5.2 **Principle**

Botley is a settlement identified at policy CP3 of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part 1 as a Local Service Centre and therefore a more sustainable location for development. The Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area Strategy Core Policy 8 further clarifies the Spatial Strategy for this area. As such, Botley is a suitable location for the provision of services and facilities to support the area as a viable and sustainable community. The proposed development will contribute to the supporting infrastructure of the area.

5.3 **Green Belt**

Policy CP13 of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part 1 and paragraph 145 of the NPPF advise that the construction of new buildings in the Green Belt should be regarded as 'inappropriate' unless it meets one of the specified exceptions. The exception given at criterion ii) of policy CP13 and also at criterion b) of

paragraph 145 of the NPPF is, 'the provision of appropriate facilities (in connection with the existing use of land or a change of use) for outdoor sport, outdoor recreation, cemeteries and burial grounds and allotments; as long as the facilities preserve the openness of the Green Belt and do not conflict with the purposes of including land within it.'. The exception given at criterion iii) of policy CP13 and at criterion c) of paragraph 145 of the NPPF is 'the extension or alteration of a building provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building'.

- 5.4 The agent has suggested the proposed development could be assessed against criterion iii) of policy CP13 and criterion c) of paragraph 145 of the NPPF. However, officers disagree, the proposal being for a replacement of facilities, rather than an extension or alteration. Nevertheless, it is possible a 'fallback' position could be established for a larger facility were an application first made to extend the current building(s).
- 5.5 Officers consider the relevant criteria for consideration are ii) of policy CP13 and b) of paragraph 145 of NPPF.
- 5.6 The first element of the requirement is that the facility is an appropriate facility for outdoor sport and recreation. Officers consider this to be the case here, in that the proposal is for the erection of a replacement pavilion building containing facilities for outdoor sport and recreation purposes.
- 5.7 The second and third elements of the policy test are; whether the openness of the Green Belt is preserved and whether there is any conflict with the purposes of including land within the Green Belt.
- 5.8 With regard to the meaning of the 'openness' of the Green Belt, the Court of Appeal judgement *Turner v SSCLG & East Dorset Council [2016] EWCA Civ 466* confirmed that the openness of the Green Belt has a spatial aspect as well as a visual aspect and assessing openness was found not to be limited to measuring the volume of the existing and proposed structures on the site. Many factors were found to be relevant and could include how built-up the Green Belt was currently and how built-up it would be if the proposed development went ahead.
- 5.9 The five purposes of the Green Belt are:
- to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
 - to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another;
 - to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
 - to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
 - to assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.
- 5.10 The combined footprint of the existing buildings is approximately 325m² whereas the proposed footprint of the replacement building together with storage containers is approximately 500m². The associated area for car-parking and the 'garden' and 'outdoor room' areas will also be seen to form part of the development as a whole.

- 5.11 A number of concerns have been raised by local residents in respect of the impact of the proposal on the Green Belt and whether there is a conflict with policy CP13 of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 1. However, officers feel whilst the proposal will increase the area that is built-on and will make a material difference to the visual amenity of the site, the overall scale and appearance of the proposal is suitable for the site and its surroundings, and the existing dilapidated structures on site will be removed which will improve the visual amenity of the Green Belt. On balance, Officers consider the proposal will preserve the openness of the Green Belt and will not conflict with the reasons of including land within it.
- 5.12 **Design, landscaping and character of area**
The visual amenity of the area will be materially altered should the proposed development proceed, due to the design of the proposed building when compared with the current facilities. The new building is an outward-facing structure with its principal elevation towards Arnolds Way which can be compared with the current buildings which are positioned parallel to and close to the site boundary. The proposed building will also be higher than the existing with the leading edge eaves level at approximately 5.6m and maximum height of 5.9m. This will allow for design features such as the installation of pv panels and for storage and services provision within the eaves, together with an airy spacious interior.
- 5.13 Concerns have been raised that the proposed building design is not in-keeping with the character of the local area, that the building will be dominating, is too large and too high, and that the building will block important distant views. There are further concerns that the car parking area will dominate the street scene.
- 5.14 With regard to the character of the area, the application site is set in a mainly residential area with the Matthew Arnold School to the west but there are no buildings immediately adjoining the application site, thereby allowing more scope for a unique identity for the building. It will also be seen in the context of the Louie Memorial Playing Fields and would be expected to be of a design suited to a sport or recreational use.
- 5.15 Officers consider the design is of an appropriate scale and appearance, having regard to its intended use and represents a benefit to the visual amenity of the area since the existing buildings are dated and in poor condition. The seven car parking spaces to be provided on-site are considered to be sufficiently limited so as not to dominate whilst allowing the buildings are more accessible for elderly or disabled users.
- 5.16 With regard to concerns that the proposal will block panoramic views to the north, officers consider this is not the case. Neighbourhood plan policy GS3 identifies important views from the top of the lower Louie Memorial Playing Fields, but does not refer to views from the Upper Playing Field. Additionally, the site lies in the north west corner of the Upper Playing Field at one of the lowest points of the upper Field and the long distance views to the north from the higher areas of the area will not be significantly changed, views from the

land immediately to the rear of the proposed development already being partially restricted by play equipment, the MUGA and the current buildings.

5.17 Leisure and community facilities

The relevant saved policies from the Local Plan 2011 are L7 and L8. Policy L7 establishes that the District Council wishes to protect existing local open space, sport and recreational facilities that are available to the public and to prevent their loss to other uses. Policy L8 lends support to new leisure proposals to extend facilities where they are designed to serve local communities. The relevant saved policy from the Local Plan 2011 is CF1 which indicates that the Council will resist proposals involving the loss of existing services and facilities unless the proposal includes alternative provision sufficient to meet identified community needs.

5.18 Officers consider the proposal has been designed to accommodate a variety of local sport and recreational groups. The amended plans reflect the comments from Sport England regarding improving the design and layout of the changing facilities. Having regard to the substandard changing and recreational facilities currently offered at the site, the proposal looks to replace current facilities with better quality provision which has better accessibility for a wider section of the community. The improved sports change accommodation is intended to encourage more diverse user groups including women's and youth football teams.

5.19 Much concern has been focused on the objection to the current proposal by the 4th Oxford Scout group, being a significant user of the current accommodation. Concerns have been raised about the suitability of the proposed building if the Scout group re-locate. Officers understand the Scout group concerns centre on the affordability of using the new provision, equivalent access to the facilities in conjunction with the competing needs of other community groups, and the suitability and convenience of the proposed storage provision. The Scout group wishes to see its existing building retained.

5.20 However, after much consideration, officers consider the proposed design of the buildings and facilities are acceptable and could be used by a Scout group. If the land-use is acceptable, the remaining concerns raised by the Scout group centre on the management and operational decisions regarding the new building, which are not material planning considerations but which it would be hoped can be resolved by the parties involved.

5.21 Objections have also been raised to suggest that there is no support for the proposal and no demand for the facilities, having regard to alternative provision within the local area. It is noted that the building is on the edge of the parish boundary. However, there are users of the current facility and it is clearly in need of updating. The new provision will also offer opportunities for a wider section of the community to access the buildings and wider area. For example, there is currently no disabled provision making access difficult, whereas there will be parking available for wheelchair users with better access to the buildings and wc and changing facilities.

- 5.22 The proposal offers flexible leisure and sports accommodation for the community and officers consider the proposal accords with the leisure and community facility policies of the development plan.
- 5.23 **Trees and landscaping**
Policy CP44 states that measures should be sought to integrate development into the landscape character of the area and that development should preserve and promote local distinctiveness and diversity.
- 5.24 There are a number of maturing trees located within the site, most of which are within the area adjoining the existing Scout building. This area is proposed to be part of the 'woodcraft area' and, as a result, it is likely that they can be retained. The young trees at the Arnolds Way frontage offer a screening function but they could be readily replaced within a scheme of landscape mitigation by planning condition.
- 5.25 The retention of existing trees should be subject to appropriate protection during the construction works and if planning permission is granted, a condition requiring the submission and agreement of a tree protection plan should be required.
- 5.26 **Wildlife**
Planning policies seek to ensure that development likely to result in the loss, deterioration or harm to habitats or species of importance to biodiversity will not be permitted and opportunities for biodiversity gain will be sought. With regard to the current site, the vegetation is regarded as of low value. The Council's ecologist has indicated there is unlikely to be any impact on badgers from the proposal but has recommended a bat emergence survey be undertaken and suitable mitigation provided if protected bat species are found to present.
- 5.27 Concerns have been expressed regarding the development impacting the wildlife corridor connecting the Lower and Upper Playing Fields and the impact of light pollution. However, having regard to the content of the ecological report submitted in support of the proposal and the view of the Council's ecologist together with the intention to remove overgrown scrub vegetation and replace it with wildlife friendly planting, officers consider the proposal to be acceptable in terms of biodiversity impact. A planning condition is recommended to be imposed to ensure external lighting to the building is appropriate.
- 5.28 **Highways and access considerations**
Policy regarding the impact that the development will have on the transport network around the development is set out in Policies CP33 and CP35 of the Local Plan Part 1 2031. Policy CP33 sets out that the impacts of new development on the strategic and local road networks need to be minimised and developments need to be designed in a way to promote sustainable transport access both within new sites and linking with surrounding facilities and employment. Policy DC5 of the saved Local Plan 2011 requires proposals for development to provide acceptable access arrangements. Policy DP16 of the emerging Local Plan 2031 Part 2 states proposals for development will need to

provide evidence to demonstrate that adequate provision will be made for loading, unloading, circulation, servicing and vehicle turning. Policies TR1 and TR2 of the neighbourhood plan are also relevant.

- 5.29 The amended plans take into consideration the Highway Liaison Officer's comments and propose 7 car parking spaces including 2 for wheelchair users. There is also cycle parking provision. A new vehicular access and egress point will be formed off Arnolds Way, to serve the replacement building. Existing emergency and maintenance access gates to the south Field off Arnolds Way will be re-provided in a new location. Currently, there is no direct vehicular access to either of the existing buildings so current parking arrangements are at the kerb-side along Arnold Way (which currently has no parking restrictions) or within the existing car park on the north Field.
- 5.30 A number of concerns have been raised regarding the inclusion of parking provision adjoining the proposed new building, including that this will encourage visiting the site by car and add to traffic congestion in the local area.
- 5.31 Officers consider the proposal for a limited number of vehicle parking spaces represents a suitable balance between improved access and parking whilst not dominating the appearance of the site. The site is on a cycleway route and has good public transport links so the site is conducive to supporting access via bike or public transport.
- 5.32 There is no objection from the Highway Liaison Officer but a number of planning conditions are recommended to ensure the detail of the development is satisfactory.
- 5.33 **Neighbour amenity**
Whilst there are no immediate neighbours to the application site, there are nevertheless residential properties in close proximity to the site on the north side of Arnold's Way.
- 5.34 Policy DC9 of the saved Local Plan 2011 states development will not be permitted if it would unacceptably harm the amenities of neighbouring properties and the wider environment, including through dominance or visual intrusion, from noise or external lighting. Policy DP23 of the emerging Local Plan 2031 Part 2 states, 'Development proposals should demonstrate that they will not result in significant adverse impacts on the amenity of neighbouring uses'.
- 5.35 Officers are satisfied the new building would not harm the amenity of neighbours via dominance or visual intrusion. There would be no direct lighting to the parking area and external lighting would be security and safety building-mounted lighting. Officers do not consider there will be any greater impacts from noise, as compared with the current impact situation.
- 5.36 **Other considerations:**

5.37 **Drainage and flooding**

The site does not fall within any Flood Risk Areas and does it fall within any local groundwater flood risk area. Subject to further assessment of sub-soil permeability at detailed design stage, pavings to new parking bays are proposed to be in porous materials draining to sub-soil and disposal of rooftop rainwater run-off will be to soakaways, or else to holding tanks allowing for water reclamation as noted below. The rate of water run-off from new rooftops will be partly mitigated by the use of sedum 'green' roof finishes.

5.38 Officers have noted concerns raised that the fen area of the North Louie Memorial Fields be protected from harm due to the drainage. It has been noted by some members of the public that a hydrology report has not been submitted. However, the drainage engineer is satisfied with the proposal subject to the imposition of planning conditions to require detailed information in respect of surface and foul water drainage.

5.39 **Sustainable design, construction and renewable energy**

It is understood the proposed development will seek high construction standards in terms of insulation, controlled ventilation and the specification and sourcing of construction materials and where possible will incorporate alternative energy sources.

5.40 **Crime and anti-social behaviour**

Concerns have been raised that the building will encourage anti-social behaviour, particularly at the rear of the building. However, it would be expected that a soundly constructed, well-used building and surrounding area will give rise to reduced levels of anti-social behaviour as compared with the existing buildings.

5.41 **CIL**

There is no residential floorspace created and so the development is not liable for CIL.

5.42 **Covenant restrictions on use of Louie Memorial Fields**

The terms of the covenant are not a material planning consideration but have been raised by a number of local residents. In any case officers consider there is no conflict between the original conveyance to gift the Fields and the current proposal. An extract from the conveyancing document is included below and indicates the land was intended for sport, recreation and related recreational buildings.

"THIS CONVEYANCE is made the 16 May 1939 BETWEEN Sir William James Mallinson of "Pine End" Reigate in the County of Surrey Baronet and Ernest Henry Tipping of 14 Saint Giles in the City of Oxford Land Agent (hereinafter together called "the Vendors") of the first part Henry Stephen Kinglerlee of Queen Street in the City of Oxford Builder and Contractor (hereinafter called the Doner") of the second part and The Parish Council of North Hinksey in the County of Berks whereinafter called The Council") of the third part.

WHEREAS: -The donor in commemoration of his late wife Louie Emma Kingerlee is desirous of making a free gift of the said property to the Council in order that the same may be laid out equipped maintained and either managed or let by the Council for the purpose of Cricket, Football, Tennis, Hockey or other games or recreations or otherwise preserved as an open space PROVIDED that the Council shall not be prevented from erecting thereon any lodges for groundsmen, pavilions, refreshment houses, or other buildings to be used for recreational purposes.”

5.43 **Cost**

Concerns have been raised about the cost of providing the new facility and whether this is a good use of public funds in the current economic climate. However, this is not a material planning consideration.

6.0 **CONCLUSION**

6.1 Although it is within the Oxford Green Belt, the application site is an appropriate and sustainable location for a pavilion which supports community sport, leisure and recreational activities. The design of the proposed building is appropriate to its intended use and the building will be in-keeping with its playing field location. The proposal allows for the retention of existing trees, improvements to the landscaping of the site and the provision of a new access allowing for limited on-site parking, including for wheelchair users. The proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on wildlife or the amenity of neighbouring residents. On balance when taking into account all relevant policies and material planning considerations, the proposal is considered to be acceptable subject to planning conditions, and considered to accord with the aims of the development plan and relevant policies of the National Planning Policy Framework.

The following planning policies have been taken into account:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2011 saved policies

- DC5 - Access
- DC6 - Landscaping
- DC9 - The Impact of Development on Neighbouring Uses
- L1 - Playing Space
- L7 - Retention of small-scale Local Leisure Facilities
- L8 - Provision of small-scale Local Leisure Facilities

Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 1 policies

- CF1 - Protection of Existing Services and Facilities
- CF2 - Provision of New Community Services and Facilities
- CP01 - Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development
- CP03 - Settlement Hierarchy
- CP08 - Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area
- CP13 - The Oxford Green Belt
- CP33 - Promoting Sustainable Transport and Accessibility
- CP35 - Promoting Public Transport, Cycling and Walking
- CP37 - Design and Local Distinctiveness

CP40 - Sustainable Design and Construction
CP41 – Renewable Energy
CP42 - Flood Risk
CP44 - Landscape
CP46 - Biodiversity

Emerging Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 2 policies

A publication draft of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 2 has been subject to public consultation and Examination and the Inspector's final report (received 25 June 2019) has found the plan sound. Its policies therefore carry significant weight in decision making and the following policies are material to the consideration of this application:

DP16 - Access
DP21 – External lighting
DP33 – Open Space
DP34 – Leisure and Sports Facilities

Neighbourhood Plan

The North Hinksey Neighbourhood plan is underway. The draft plan documents and comments received during the publicity period have been submitted for independent examination.

Policies:

TR1 – Cyclists, pedestrians and public transport
TR2 – Parking, accessibility and electric vehicle charging
SI1- Leisure and social facilities
UT1 – Flooding and ground water
UT2 – Sustainable design, energy efficiency and renewable energy
GS1 – Local green spaces
GS2 – Biodiversity, wildlife corridors, TPOs and tree canopy cover
GS3 – Locally important views

Vale of White Horse Design Guide (March 2015)

National Planning Policy Framework, 2019

Planning Practice Guidance

Equality Act 2010

The application has been assessed against section 149 of the Equality Act. It is considered that no recognised group will suffer discrimination as a result of the proposal.


Human Rights Act, 1998

The application has been assessed against Articles 1 and 8. The impact on individuals has been balanced against the public interest and the officer recommendation is considered to be proportionate.

Author: Susannah Mangion

Email: susannah.mangion@southandvale.gov.uk

Tel: 01235 422600



Notes
All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing
Crown Copyright & database rights 2017 OS 100019980



NOTE: site levels as shown are taken from SWA levels survey 11.3.19 in relation to OS levels in Arnold Way

OS levels shown thus:
118.700m
SWA survey levels shown thus:
200.000m

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
Revisions	Date	by	Rev
survey levels added	11.3.19	sw	P7
minor title change	8.3.19	sw	P6
red/blue line boundary changes	5.3.19	sw	P5
additional notes	18.2.19	sw	P4
site boundary red & blue lines added	16.2.19	sw	P3

Client
North Hinksey Parish Council


Project
LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD
BLOCK PLAN - EXISTING BUILDINGS



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:250 @ A1	Date 12.01.2018	by SW
1:500 @ A3		

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	003	P7



POSSIBLE perimeter dog-walking path in hoggin or similar material - BUT NB (1) cost not included under current budgets NOR at current planning application NB (2) further check needed on public rights of way - OS maps indicate right of way to lie beyond LMH site boundary and to run NE - SW

TRIM TRAIL TRIANGLE
Seven items of existing free-standing exercise equipment (parallel bars, steps, hurdles etc) to be grouped together
About five existing items to be relocated (NB NOT forming part of current budgets or of current planning application)

part of Louie Memorial Playing Fields (South)

dashed lines indicate irregular mound (former skate bowl area)
(NB material excavated from existing mound may be moved on-site to the trim trail triangle, to form a new mound to be covered in soil & grassed-over, with relocated trim trail equipment around it)
item 2 210wires - as installed December 2018

existing woodland / scrub - to remain
path (NB status as public right of way needs further check)

Crown Copyright & database rights 2017 OS 100019980

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
minor title change	8.3.19	sw	P7
check on play equipment positions & other updates	5.3.19	sw	P6
site boundaries updated	1.3.19	sw	P5
additional notes	18.2.19	sw	P4
site boundary red lines added; zip wire finalised; single football pitch shown	15.2.19	sw	P3
changes as discussed at meeting held 17.10.18	17.10.18	sw	P2
first general issue	15.10.18	sw	P1
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client
North Hinksey Parish Council

Project
LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD

BLOCK PLAN AS PROPOSED - showing NEW BUILDING / RETAINED MUGA / NEW PLAY EQUIPMENT / POSSIBLE SKATE PARK




STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:250 @ A1	Date	by
1:500 @ A3	10.10.2018	SW

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	401	P7

(former bridle path currently closed off & NOT in Parish ownership or management)
informal link path POSSIBLY to be upgraded in hoggin or similar material - BUT NB cost not included under current budgets OR planning application


Matthew Arnold School site



4th Oxford Scouts Building; as-existing WEST ELEVATION (largely hidden)

view from blocked-off bridle way (NB this elevation mostly NOT visible from areas accessible to the public; also heavily screened by over-grown trees & scrub)

(overgrown scrub area to side & rear)





Louie Memorial Pavilion: as-existing EAST ELEVATION

viewed from Louie Memorial Playing Fields


painting flush door to changing areas

Main Entrance: flush double doors in natural metal finish with matching side panel



Both buildings: as-existing SOUTH ELEVATION

Louie Memorial Pavilion (right) with 4th Oxford Scouts Building (left) - viewed from scrub area (NB L M Pavilion mostly visible but Scouts building is mostly hidden by overgrown scrub)



Both buildings: as-existing NORTH ELEVATION

Louie Memorial Pavilion (left) with 4th Oxford Scouts Building (right): viewed from Arnold Way

line of fence between Scouts site & LM Pavilion site: metal mesh fence on PCC posts approx 1700 H & locally overgrown

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
Revisions	Date	by	Rev
			P1

Client
 North Hinksey Parish Council


Project
 LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
 Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD
 OUTER ELEVATIONS of EXISTING BUILDINGS



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES
 ARCHITECTS
 2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
 Tel: (01865)883222
 E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:50 @ A1	Date 05.03.2019	by SW
1:100 @ A3		


Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	010	P1



'UNFOLDED' SOUTH ELEVATION - VIEW FROM MUGA SIDE
(retained MUGA fence not drawn, see plans for line & height)

PROPOSED EXTERNAL MATERIALS

- plinth in blue/grey engineering brick
- cladding in vertical timber or similar panelling
- composite windows & doors with external aluminium with powder-coat paint finish
- roof finishes in sedum with local PV panels



'UNFOLDED' NORTH ELEVATION - VIEW FROM CAR PARK SIDE

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
minor title change	8.3.19	sw	P5
additional notes	18.2.19	sw	P4
monopitch roof option	25.1.19	sw	P3
double-pitch roof option - issue to PC	15.1.19	sw	P2
preliminary QS issue	17.10.18	sw	P1
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client
North Hinksey Parish Council


Project
LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD
'UNFOLDED' ELEVATIONS - MONOPITCH ROOF FORM
read with Plan 404



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:100 @ A1	Date	by
1:200 @ A3	16.10.2018	SW

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	410	P5





NORTH EAST GABLE ELEVATION
- to enclosed garden
view from Louie Memorial Playing Fields

Notes
All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing



- PROPOSED EXTERNAL MATERIALS**
- plinth in blue/grey engineering brick
 - cladding in vertical timber or similar panelling
 - composite windows & doors with external aluminium with powder-coat paint finish
 - roof finishes in sedum with local PV panels



FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
minor title change	8.3.19	sw	P3
minor updates	2.3.19	sw	P2
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client
North Hinksey Parish Council

Project
LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX29 4JD

'TRUE' ELEVATIONS -
MONOPITCH ROOF FORM
read with Plan 404



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES
ARCHITECTS
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales	Date	by
1:100 @ A1	28.2.2019	SW
1:200 @ A3		

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	411	P3




Notes
 All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing
 Crown Copyright & database rights
 2017 OS 100019980

NORTH



Page 31



FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
updates to show Louie Memorial Pavilion	6.3.19	sw	P5
Scouts layout amended following survey 22.1.18		sw	P2
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client
 North Hinksey Parish Council

Project
 LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
 Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD

EXISTING BUILDINGS -
 PLANS AS EXISTING



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES
 ARCHITECTS
 2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
 Tel: (01865)883222
 E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:50 @ A1	Date	by
1:100 @ A3	12.01.2018	SW

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	005	P5

PROPOPOSED HARD LANDSCAPE FINISHES
- see Drawing 406 for locations

PERMEABLE PAVING TYPE A) POROUS TARMAC GENERALLY: porous tarmac is produced & placed using the same methods as conventional tarmac; it differs in that fine (small) aggregates are omitted from the tarmac mixture. The remaining large, single-sized aggregate particles leave open voids that give the material its porosity & permeability. To ensure pavement strength, fibre may be added to the mix or a polymer-modified asphalt binder may be used. Generally, porous asphalt pavements are designed with a subsurface reservoir that holds water that passes through the pavement, allowing it to evaporate and/or percolate slowly into the surround soils.

PROPOSED APPLICATION of porous tarmac at Louie Memorial Pavilion site:
1) to new vehicle entrance and circulation areas.
2) to new footpaths flanking the existing MUGA.

PROPOSED PRODUCT RANGE: Tarmac Ltd Permeable Asphalt systems

FURTHER DESCRIPTION: A permeable asphalt system is a development of traditional asphalt pavements, consisting of four distinct layers: a surface course, binder course, granular reservoir and a geotextile or geomembrane.

The properties and dimensions of each layer are dependent on the system's structural & hydraulic performance requirements & the existing site conditions.

PERMEABLE ASPHALT SYSTEMS

There are three core systems for the implementation of permeable paving systems, whose suitability is dependent on site conditions.

PROPOSED SYSTEM A, Full Infiltration -

The preferable solution for drainage systems, as it enables the surface water to be dealt with on site. The system allows all water entering the pavement to infiltrate through the constructed layers into the existing underlying ground.

PERMEABLE PAVING TYPE B) RESIN BOUND PAVING generally: resin bound paving is a mixture of resin binder and aggregate. Clear resin is used to fully coat each aggregate particle before laying. Enough resin is used to allow each aggregate particle to adhere to one another and to the base yet leave voids for water to permeate through. Resin bound paving provides a strong & durable surface, suitable for pedestrian and vehicular traffic in applications such as pathways, driveways, car parks and access roads.

PROPOSED APPLICATION of resin bound paving at Louie Memorial Pavilion site:
1) to new footpaths flanking new building, including approach footpaths from Arnold Way, and flanking footpaths under canopies at rear.
2) to wheelchair-accessible pavings adjoining the accessible parking bays

PROPOSED PRODUCT RANGE: Sudstech Permeable Paving System by Langford Direct

Further description:

Granular sub-base: Type 3 granular sub-base, 4/20mm graded crushed concrete aggregate with zero fines (incl recycled aggregates if locally available)
Sub-Base compacted thickness: 200mm, laid over permeable geo-textile membrane
Immediately prior to laying the sub-base material, excavate the final 75mm of sub-soil, compact the surface of the formation & lay the geo-textile membrane (Terram 1000 or equivalent)
Top Wearing Surface: 50mm thick Sudstech Paving system, resin-bound gravel/rubber compound, laid by an approved installer.

PERMEABLE PAVING TYPE C) PERMEABLE CONCRETE UNIT PAVINGS generally: these are pre-cast concrete units with open, permeable spaces between the units and bedded on sand bedding and a porous granular sub-base. They give an architectural appearance, and can bear both light and heavy traffic, particularly interlocking concrete pavers, excepting high-volume or high-speed roads.

PROPOSED APPLICATION of pre-cast concrete units at Louie Memorial Pavilion site: to new parking bays

PROPOSED PRODUCT RANGE: Marshalls Ltd Permeable Block Paving, Driveline Piora range.

Further description: Each 60mm block features the Marshalls unique patented 'Piora' nib design which allows surface water to pass between blocks into a specially calculated sub-base without compromising structural performance of the driveway. Block size 200 x 100 x 60mm. Available in six colours.

PERMEABLE PAVING TYPE D) PLASTIC STRUCTURAL GRID PAVING generally: plastic grids allow for a 100% porous system using structural grid systems for containing & stabilising either gravel or turf. The grids come in a variety of shapes and sizes depending on use; from pathways to commercial parking lots. They are gaining popularity due to requirements for many projects to meet environmental building standards. Plastic grid system are also popular due to their lower cost to install, ease of installation & versatility.

The ideal design for this type of grid system is a closed cell system, which prevents gravel/sand/turf from migrating laterally.

PROPOSED APPLICATION of plastics structural grid paving at Louie Memorial Pavilion site: containing seeded earth fill and providing a reinforced turf surface for outdoor events: to 'outdoor room' between new building & MUGA

PROPOSED PRODUCT RANGE: TERRAM Ltd 'Bodpave 85' grass pavers / paving grids.

Further description: TERRAM Bodpave 85 grass pavers / paving grids are a strong interlocking 100% recycled cellular porous plastic paving grid system for grass reinforcement, ground stabilisation & gravel retention for regular trafficked surfaces (pedestrian and vehicles). Bodpave 85 porous pavers can be installed with either a grass or gravel filled surface.

BodPave 85 permeable pavers are manufactured in the UK from UV Stabilised 100% recycled HDPE and are strong, chemically inert & non-toxic. Bodpave 85 porous paving provides a durable, safe and environmentally friendly surface for trafficked areas with a very low carbon footprint. The units simply connect together and are filled with a sand:soil rootzone and seeded for a grass surface.

PROPOPOSED WILDLIFE-FRIENDLY HEDGROW PLANTING - see also Drawing 406 for locations

NEW WILDLIFE-FRIENDLY HEDGING to RSPB & Wildlife Trust recommendations; in mixed native species comprising 5 x plants per metre, planted in staggered double rows, as bare-rooted whips up to 80cm long, randomly mixed as follows:

Species mix to comprise:

- 20 % Hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*)
- 20 % Blackthorn (*Prunus spinosa*)
- 10 % Dog Rose (*Rosa canina*)
- 10 % Field Rose (*Rosa Arvensis*)
- 10 % Wild Cherry (*Prunus avium*)
- 10 % Bird Cherry (*Prunus padus*)
- 10 % Common Spindle (*Euonymus Europaeus*)
- 10 % Holly (*Ilex aquifolium*)

In strip nom 1m wide, cultivate top 300mm of existing topsoil with new site topsoil added as necessary; with addition of Agripol Ltd Broadleaf P4 polymer fertiliser granules at rate of 100gms per m2, thoroughly incorporated into top 300mm

LONG-TERM MAINTENANCE & MANAGEMENT OF NEW HEDGING

Watering:
Water regularly during the first summer after planting.

Control weeds:
During the first 5 years after planting, remove any weed or grass growth that may compete for water & nutrients and hinder the establishment of the hedge. Maintain a 50mm layer of bark mulch to help suppress weeds & reduce the amount of weeding required.

First Prune:
In the first spring, cut back the shrubs to 45-60cm above the ground; to encourage bushy growth resulting in a thicker hedge.

Replace dead plants:
Replace any dead plants in autumn to prevent gaps forming in the hedge.

LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT FOR WELL-ESTABLISHED DECIDUOUS HEDGES

For a thick hedge, prune each side alternately, every 3 years between November & February. Cut sections of hedge at different times, leaving undisturbed areas for wildlife. To promote a thick base, angle the cut.

PROPOPOSED WILDLIFE-FRIENDLY NATIVE TREE PLANTING - see also Drawing 406 for locations

NEW TREES GENERALLY: PLANTING

- to be planted as Standards 2-2.5m H into prepared tree pits nom 1.2m dia x 0.6m deep; with soil broken-up to 150mm depth at bottom of pit
- secure at least one tree stake 50- 75mm dia x 3m L, peeled round pole, pressure-treated; bedded min 0.6m below base of tree pit & with cushioned & adjustable rubber tie between stake and bole of tree
- With tree firmed into selected as-dug topsoil mixed with nom 80 litres of standard tree-planting compost; with addition of 500g/m3 medium fine bonemeal within top 400mm
- installed with watering pipe, perforated below 300mm.
- Tree pit finished in min 75mm, max 150mm bark mulch or woodchip topping.

NEW TREES GENERALLY: 3-YEAR MAINTENANCE

- Initial planting, staking & mulching as noted
- Generally, follow the guidance of Forestry Commission handbook, 'Tree care guide - a simple handbook for nurturing young trees' - including in particular:
 - check & adjust rubber ties at least once every 12 months, to allow for growth & to avoid constriction or distortion
 - check support posts every three months during first year after planting to ensure firmness and continuing support; thereafter re-check annually. Re-bed or replace support posts as necessary
 - check each tree annually in September for damage, disease and absence of healthy growth; and re-plant in the following planting season (October-March inclusive) if necessary
 - water copiously at first planting & thereafter check weather and ground moisture levels at least monthly during the first 12 months following planting & re-water via watering pipe as necessary; ensuring each tree receives at least 30 litres per day in spring and during any dry periods throughout the summer
 - in second & successive years following planting, regularly monitor weather conditions & condition of trees and water as necessary, especially in spring & summer months
 - at commencement of second growing season evenly apply a general fertiliser (ratio 10:6:6 NPK) at nominal rate 50 grams per tree pit
 - maintain tree pit finishes in min 50mm, maximum 150mm bark mulch or woodchip topping, checking & topping-up every three months in first year following planting, thereafter annually. Suppress any weed growth by hand-pulling then re-mulching.

Notes

All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
first issue to CP	11.3.19	sw	P1
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client	North Hinksey Parish Council
--------	------------------------------

Project	LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD
---------	--

HARD & SOFT LANDSCAPE AS PROPOSED - NOTES - read with Layout dwg 406
--



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS
© Copyright
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales	NTS	Date	11.03.2019	by	SW
--------	-----	------	------------	----	----

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	407	P1

Notes
 All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing
 Crown Copyright & database rights 2017 OS 100019980



changes to Sport England comment 15.5.19 & to Highways comments recd from SM 17 & 18.6.19

changes to CP/DK mail 30.4.19 following discussions with planning officer S Mangion	22.6.19	sw	P17
changes to CP/DK mail 30.4.19 following discussions with planning officer S Mangion	9.5.19	sw	P16

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION

levels indicated	12.3.19	sw	P15
minor title change	8.3.19	sw	P14
minor changes to D ON email 16.1.19	5.3.19	sw	P13
red line site boundary & footprint figures corrected	1.3.19	sw	P12
additional notes	18.2.19	sw	P11
adaptations from CP mail 16.2 & for consistency with current elevations	16.2.19	sw	P10
adaptations arising from initial structural layouts	13.1.19	sw	P9
Further revisions from CP mail & sketch 10.12.18: WCs & storage, plus enclosed garden	20.12.18	sw	P8
Minor revision from CP mail 14.8.18: pedestrian access from Matthew Arnold Road relocated	10.10.18	sw	P7
Revisions from CP mails 3.8.18: - parking realigned - building moved East (to allow Scout hut temporary to be kept) - outdoor spaces adapted	10.8.18	sw	P6
Revisions from meeting 10.7.18 & to DON notes 12.7.18	21.7.18	sw	P5
Ref & Dis Change enlarged also to provide 'Changing Places' full Assisted WC. Rooflights added.	24.4.18	sw	P4
WCs & Office swapped	11.4.18	sw	P3
for Annual Parish Meeting	19.3.18	sw	P2
SW / DON review	16.3.18	sw	P1

from mtg 1.3.18

Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client: North Hinksey Parish Council

Project: LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE, Arnolds Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD

FULL NEWBUILD - PLAN AS PROPOSED

SWA

STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS
 2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
 Tel: (01865)883222
 E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:100 @ A1, 1:200 @ A3, Date 06.03.2018, by SW

Job No. 18367, Drawing No. 404, Rev. P17

NORTH




RED LINE denotes local Application Site boundary

dashed line indicates edge of pitch (may vary)

Site fencing and gateways along the Arnolds Way (north) frontage will generally match existing materials and appearance, to an adapted layout.

Arnolds Way

Notes
All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing
Crown Copyright & database rights 2017 OS 100019980



FOUL DRAINAGE :
- existing system believed to discharge to mains foul sewer in Arnold Way
- existing to be adapted & extended to suit new layout

SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE :
- existing system believed to discharge to subsoil
- existing to be REPLACED to suit new layout and to SUDS drainage principles

SEE ALSO D & A STATEMENT & SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

HARD LANDSCAPE FINISHES

- A**
PERMEABLE PAVING TYPE A)
- POROUS TARMAC
see dwg 407 for description
- B**
PERMEABLE PAVING TYPE B)
RESIN BOUND PAVING
see drawing 407 for description
- C**
PERMEABLE PAVING TYPE C)
PERMEABLE CONCRETE
UNIT PAVINGS
See Dwg 407 for description
- D**
PERMEABLE PAVING TYPE D)
PLASTIC STRUCTURAL GRID
PAVING WITH SEEDED FILL
FOR GRASS
see dwg 407 for description

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
first issue to CP	11.3.19	sw	P1
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client
North Hinksey Parish Council

Project
LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
Arnolds Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD

HARD & SOFT LANDSCAPE
AS PROPOSED - read with
related Notes on dwg 407



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES
ARCHITECTS
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:100 @ A1	Date	by
1:200 @ A3	11.03.2019	SW

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	406	P1

Matthew Arnold School grounds

BLUE LINE denotes further land in ownership of the Applicant

blocked-off brideway

EXISTING SCRUB & OVER-GROWN TREES beyond the Application Site may be cleared & re-planted under separate arrangements NOT forming part of this Application

SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE:
from building and from external paved areas:
- to run direct to sub-soil or to local soakaways & NOT to discharge into site stream
- where practicable & subject to budget considerations, surface water run-off may be captured & stored for re-use as 'grey' water for flushing WCs and/or for pitch watering
- see drawing 404 & Design & Access Statement for further detail

NEW TREES GENERALLY: 3-YEAR MAINTENANCE - see further notes on dwg 407

NEW TREES GENERALLY: PLANTING - see further notes on dwg 407

NEW WILDLIFE-FRIENDLY HEDGING - see further notes on dwg 407

LONG-TERM MAINTENANCE & MANAGEMENT OF NEW HEDGING - see further notes on dwg 407

existing pathway flanking rear of MUGA - KEPT & extended
existing MUGA fence 3m H KEPT

existing MUGA side fence 2m H KEPT



Existing MUGA / Sports Court hard surfaces kept - approx 540m2



grassed area to roadside frontage

(path)

(access approach from Arnold Way)

4th OXFORD SCOUTS

separating fence - as noted on Elevations drawings

grassed area to roadside frontage

(path)

(access approach from Arnold Way)

LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION

Notes
All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing
Crown Copyright & database rights 2017 OS 100019980

NORTH



boundary fence - as noted on Elevations drawing

dashed line shows line of outer face of external walls, under

profiled sheet metal roof

fall

padlocked gate

(blocked bridle path)

black plastics RW goods

(this area heavily over-grown)

fall

fall

part of Application Site boundary - see also other drawings

(this area heavily over-grown)

grassed area between buildings with drainage & other services

shallow fall

near-flat felt or similar roof finishes

shallow fall

black plastics RW goods

grassed area

dashed line shows line of outer face of external walls, under

Main Entrance double doors & side panel


dashed line shows line of outer face of external walls, under

towards Louie Memorial Playing Fields

0 5m 10m

grassed area

(path)



shelter pitched felt or similar roof finishes

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
Revisions	Date	by	Rev
			P1

Client
North Hinksey Parish Council

Project
LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD

EXISTING BUILDINGS - ROOF PLANS AS EXISTING



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:50 @ A1	Date 08.03.2019	by SW
1:100 @ A3		

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	006	P1



RED LINE denotes local Application Site boundary

SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE:
from building and from external paved areas:
- to run direct to sub-soil or to local soakaways & NOT to discharge into site stream

- where practicable & subject to budget considerations, surface water run-off may be captured & stored for re-use as 'grey' water for flushing WCs and/or for pitch watering

- see drawing 404 & Design & Access Statement for further detail

0 5 10 15 20m
nom 3m fence

APPLICATION SITE within Red-line boundary = 2060m² or 0.206ha
Blue-line boundary indicates further land in ownership of applicant
See also drawing 001

Existing MUGA / Sports Court kept - approx 540m²

largely flat roof over canopies & entrance lobby formed in SPM (single ply membrane) finishes, colour mid grey

monopitch roof over Main Hall Wing mainly in sedum 'green roof' finishes - with rooflights etc as shown

monopitch roof over Sports Change Wing mainly in SPM (single ply membrane) finishes - with extensive PV panels & rooflights etc as shown

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
minor title change	8.3.19	sw	P3
rooflight etc detail added	5.3.19	sw	P2
first issue to CP	1.3.19	sw	P1
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client
North Hinksey Parish Council

Project
LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
Arnolds Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD

FULL NEWBUILD - ROOF PLAN AS PROPOSED



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:100 @ A1	Date	by
1:200 @ A3	01.03.2019	SW

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	405	P3



Notes
All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing

Crown Copyright & database rights
2017 OS 100019980

NORTH



the Application Site



Louie Memorial Fields (North) and Louie Memorial Playing Fields (South) are both under the ownership & management of North Hinksey Parish Council.

The proposed development affects PART ONLY, of Louie Memorial Playing Fields (South) - as outlined in Red and comprising the Application Site.

The area of the Application Site as shown is approximately 2060m² or 0.206 hectares

The TOTAL area of Louie Memorial Playing Fields (South) including the Application Site is approximately 2.82 hectares

Part of Louie Memorial Fields (North) is also shown, with a partial site boundary shown in blue.

The total area of Louie Memorial Fields (North) is approximately 4.9 hectares

Approximate distances from development site to existing houses:

- 47 Arnolds Way: 65m (& screened by extensive tree cover)
- 88 Cedar Road: 120m
- 41 Arnolds Way: 150m

FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
minor title change	8.3.19	sw	P6
red/blue boundaries adapted to CP advice	26.2.19	sw	P5
additional notes	18.2.19	sw	P4
site boundary red line added & single football pitch shown	16.2.19	sw	P3
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client
North Hinksey Parish Council

Project
LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
Arnold's Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD


SITE PLAN AS EXISTING




STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES
ARCHITECTS
2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
Tel: (01865)883222
E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:1000 @ A1	Date	by
1:2000 @ A3	12.01.2018	SW


Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	001	P6



Notes
 All dimensions must be checked on site & not scaled from this drawing
 Crown Copyright & database rights
 2017 OS 100019980




PRIVATE CARS ENTERING & PARKING



PRIVATE CARS LEAVING

NOTE: limited site area & manoeuvring space will prevent refuse collection vehicles from entering the site. Refuse to be accumulated in the bins enclosure as shown, then brought to kerb-side on collection days.



FULL PLANNING APPLICATION			
first issue to CP	12.3.19	sw	P1
Revisions	Date	by	Rev

Client
 North Hinksey Parish Council

Project
 LOUIE MEMORIAL PAVILION SITE
 Arnolds Way, Botley, Oxford OX2 9JD

VEHICLE TRACKING PLANS



STANHOPE WILKINSON ASSOCIATES
 ARCHITECTS
 2 Swinford Farm Eynsham Oxford OX29 4BL
 Tel: (01865)883222
 E-mail: office@swa-architects.co.uk

Scales 1:100 @ A1	Date	by
1:200 @ A3	12.03.2019	SW

Job No.	Drawing No.	Rev.
18367	408	P1